Software Engineering

Lesson Design Pattern 09 Observer, Mediator v1.0

Uwe Gühl

Fall 2007/ 2008

Contents



- Observer
- Mediator

Used sources:

- [GHJ04] Gamma, Helm, Johnson, Vlissides: Design Pattern, Addison Wesley, 2004
- [Hus08] Vince Huston: Design Pattern, www.vincehuston.org/dp/, 2008



- Intent:
 - Defines a 1:n (one to many) relationship, so that if an object is changing, all dependent objects could be updated automatically
 - also known as
 - Dependents
 - Publish Subscribe
 - ... is a Behavioral Pattern



- Motivation
 - Breakdown of a system in cooperating classes:
 - Changes of an object affect in the system several different places
 - Objects should react on status changes of specific objects
 - Goal: Consistent status of depending objects without close coupling of the concerned objects
 → Reuse
 - GUI toolkits often differ between displaying and basic application data (layer model)



- Example
 - Different graphical presentations of numerical values





• Example [Hus08]



Some auctions demonstrate this pattern.

Each bidder possesses a numbered paddle that is used to indicate a bid.

The auctioneer starts the bidding, and "observes" when a paddle is raised to accept the bid. The acceptance of the bid changes the bid price

which is broadcast to all of the bidders in the form of a new bid.

[Michael Duell, "Non-software examples of software design patterns",

Object Magazine, Jul 97, p54]



- Ideas
 - Implementation of a "publish subscribe" interaction
 - All observer register at a sender object ("subject")
 - The subject holds a list of all registered observer
 - If the state is changing, the subject informs all registered observers, and they could react adequate





Uwe Gühl, Software Engineering DP-09 v1.0



• Example



Uwe Gühl, Software Engineering DP-09 v1.0



- Collaboration How do the objects work together?
 - aConcreteSubject registers all Observers to achieve consistence among them
 - aConcreteObserver requests after an advice note about a status change the corresponding information, e. g. to update the representation
 - If an Observer is responsible for information to be updated for a Subject
 - it first sends this information to the Subject and
 - waits for a message of the Subject before updating itself



Collaboration - Example



Uwe Gühl, Software Engineering DP-09 v1.0



- Applicability Use the Observer Pattern if
 - an abstraction has two points of view with corresponding dependencies
 - an encapsulation allows variation and independent reuse
 - the change of an object causes follow-up changes of other states; unknown how many objects are affected
 - an object should be capable to inform others without deep know-how about these objects (loose coupling)



- Consequences
- Subjects and Observer are loose coupled so they could vary independently
 → Independent reuse
- + Minimal coupling mean for the **Subject**:
 - only one list of **Observer** (simple interface)
 - concrete classes of the Observer are not known
 - → Level comprehensive collaboration possible
 Observer





- Consequences
- Broadcast principle
 Message of a Subject has no direct receiver
 - An update message will be sent to all registered Observer
 - The only responsibility of the Subject: Sending messages
 - Observer work on the message in their own responsibility



- Consequences
- Unexpected updates
 - Observer don't know each other
 - This could result in complex dependencies so that even harmless changes lead to update cascades
 - The minimal observer implementation does not provide information what exactly has been changed → Extensibility



- Implementation
 - 1)Who stores the Mapping between Subject and Observer?
 - Alternative 1
 Subject: Efficient, but too expensive with many Subjects and few Observer; example:

```
import java.util.*; // because of Iterator, Vector
public class Subject {
  private Vector observers = new Vector();
  public void attachObserver(Observer anObserver) {
    observers.add(anObserver);
  public void detachObserver(Observer anObserver)
    observers.remove(anObserver);
  public void notifyObservers() {
    Iterator elements = observers.iterator();
    while (elements.hasNext()) {
          ((Observer)elements.next()).update();
             method has to be
```

method has to be implemented by every Observer

Uwe Gühl, Software Engineering DP-09 v1.0



Implementation

1)Who stores the Mapping between Subject and Observer?

Alternative 2

Global repository (e.g. hash table): Slower access, but in circumstances resource saving, if only some of the potential Subjects got observed



1)Example: Implementation using a global repository

```
public class Subject {
    private static Hashtable observeables = new Hashtable();
    public void attachObserver(Observer anObserver) {
    Set observers = (Set) observeables.get(this);
    if (observers == null) {
                                                   // first observer for this subject
        observers = new HashSet(); // so we have to create a collection first
        observeables.put(this, observers);
    observers.add(anObserver);
public void detachObserver(Observer anObserver) {
    Set observers = (Set) observeables.get(this);
    if (observers != null) {
        observers.remove(anObserver);
public void notifyObservers() {
    Set observers = (Set) observeables.get(this);
    if (observers != null) {
        Iterator elements = observers.iterator();
        while (elements.hasNext()) {
             ((Observer) elements.next()).update();
                            Uwe Gühl, Software Engineering DP-09 v1.0
                                                                                      18
05/02/08
```



Implementation

2)Observer observes many Subjects
 Question: Which Subject sent notification?
 → Extension of the update interface
 to identify the causing Subject



Implementation

05/02/08

2)Example: Extension of the update interface

```
Subject
public void notifyObservers()
    Iterator elements = observers.iterator();
    while (elements.hasNext()) {
           ((Observer)elements.next()).update(this);
                          Subject passes itself
Client
public void update(Subject aSubject) {
   if (aSubject instanceof Person) {
       // do some person related stuff
   else
       // do some other stuff
               Uwe Gühl, Software Engineering DP-09 v1.0
```



Implementation

3)Who initiates update?

- Subject after status change
 - All setter methods call notify after a change
 - + clear responsibilities
 - many status changes \rightarrow many updates
 - inefficient by redundant intermediate updates
 - "Flattering"
- Clients control
 - Controlled notify request calls on demand
 - + More efficient, as status changes could be collected
 - Error prone in implementation



Implementation

4)Hanging references to deleted Subjects If a Subject gets deleted you have to handle the references to the Observers

- Bad idea: Ordinary deleting Observer
 - Dependency to other objects possible
 - Observer could listen to other Subjects
- Better: Notify Observer, so they could decide what to do



- Implementation
 - 5)Ensuring the consistent state of the subject, before notify() gets triggered
 - Example: Identity and password
 - Potential problem in extending of setter methods in subclasses
 - Idea: Using the Template Method in the abstract Subject Class, where notify() is the last operation in the template method

Subject	
TemplateMethod() PrimitiveOperation1 PrimitiveOperation2 notify()	00



- Implementation
 - 6)How much information does the subject offer in the update method?
 - Push model Assumption: Subject knows something about Observers need
 - Subject sends all detailed information to all Observer
 - Pull model
 - Assumption: Subject ignores its Observers
 - Subject sends only a minimal notify()
 - Observer demands for more information if required



Implementation

7)Registration only for specific information

- Observer registers only for special aspects void Subj::Attach(Observer*, Aspect& i);
- Notification depending on parameter: Subject supplies changed aspect to observers in the update operation void Obs::Update(Subject*, Aspect& i);
- Implementation effort is worth if there are many possible aspects



Implementation

05/02/08

7)Example: Registration only for specific information

```
Subject
public void notifyObservers(String name) {
    Iterator elements = observers.iterator();
    while (elements.hasNext()) {
        ((Observer)elements.next()).update(this, name);
    }
}
```

```
Client
public void update(Subject aSubject, String attributeName) {
    if (aSubject instanceof Person) {
        if (attributeName.equals("firstName")) {
            // update first name related data
        }
        ...
    }
    Uwe Gühl, Software Engineering DP-09 v1.0 26
```



- Implementation
 - 8)Encapsulating complex update semantics
 - A Change-Manager could be established to handle complex dependency relationships between subjects and observers
 - Its responsibilities
 - Mapping of a subject to its observers
 - Defining a particular update strategy
 - Updating of all dependent observers at the request of a subject
 - The Change-Manager is an instance of the Mediator pattern



Implementation

9)Combination of Observer and Subject in a parent class in programming languages without multiple inheritance

- An object could have the Subject and Observer role at the same time
- makes Observer chains possible
- realized in Smalltalk



Implementation

9)Java offers a standard implementation with

- Class java.util.Observable (meaning 'Subject') and
- Interface java.util.Observer
- Problem: Only usable, if the corresponding Subject class is not already subclass of another parent class



- Known Uses (see [GHJ+95])
 - MVC (Model-View-Controller) paradigm, e.g.
 - Smalltalk
 - Jakarta Struts
 - Java Swing
 - JComponent
 - PropertyChangeListener
 - Interviews, Andrew Toolkit, Unidraw
 - ET++, THINK class library
 - Web architectures



- Known Uses
 - Model View Controller (MVC)



• reads only data, but does not initialize changes





Known Uses

Typical web application





- Related Patterns
 - Mediator
 - The Change-Manager described in Implementation 8) acts as a Mediator
 - Singleton
 - The Change-Manager could be realized as a singleton to make it unique and global accessible



- Intent:
 - defines an object to encapsulate the interaction of several objects belonging together
 - supports loose coupling, avoiding explicit referencing of multiple objects among each other
 - lets vary interaction of objects independently
 - allows a flexible, independent interaction of the objects among themselves
 - a Mediator acts like an information broker
 - ... is a Behavioral Pattern



- Motivation
 - Object oriented design promotes the distribution of behavior between different objects
 - A complex manifold connection structure between objects is possible – in the worst case any object is combined with any object
 - The system is acting like a monolith
 - A change of behavior is difficult to achieve, as the behavior could be distributed about many objects



- Example Implementation of dialog boxes in a graphical user interface with
 - Buttons
 - Menus, and
 - Entry fields
- Dependencies:
 - Button gets
 disabled under
 determined
 condition





• Example – Object Diagram





• Example – Sequence Diagram



Uwe Gühl, Software Engineering DP-09 v1.0



• Example – Class Diagram





• Example [Hus08]



The control tower at a controlled airport demonstrates this pattern very well. The pilots of the planes approaching or departing the terminal area communicate with the tower rather than explicitly communicating with one another. The constraints on who can take off or land are enforced by the tower. It is important to note that the tower does not control the whole flight. It exists only to enforce constraints in the terminal area. [Michael Duell, "Non-software examples of software design patterns", Object Magazine, Jul 97, p54]

05/02/08

Uwe Gühl, Software Engineering DP-09 v1.0



- Applicability Use the Mediator Patter, when ...
 - a set of objects communicates in a specified but complex kind among each other.
 The resulting dependencies are
 - not structured
 - difficult to understand
 - reuse of an object is difficult, because it is referencing to and communicating with many other objects
 - a distributed behavior between different classes should be controlled without a set of subclasses



• Structure





- Collaboration
 - Colleagues send and get requests from a Mediator object
 - The *Mediator* implements the cooperating behaviour with coordinating the requests between *Colleagues*



- Consequences
- + Limiting subclasses
 - Localization of behavior, that otherwise would be distributed between different objects
 - A change of this behavior requires only a subclass of the *Mediator*. *Colleague*s could be reused as they are



- Consequences
- + Decoupling of Colleagues
 - With loose coupling *Mediator* and *Colleague* classes could be modified independently from each other



- Consequences
- Simplification of the objects protocols.
 Only the *Mediator* knows the *Colleague*s, so
 - 1:n relationship (*Mediator* ⇔ *Colleague*) instead of
 - n:n relationship (*Colleagues among each other*)

The number of interconnections is reduced. As a results, the system behavior is easier to ...

- understand
- maintain
- extend



- Consequences
- Abstraction of the cooperation of the objects. Focus is how the objects interact with each other – independent from their individual behavior
- +/-Centralization of control
 - Complexity of the communication gets transferred from the system into the *Mediator*
 - Typically the *Mediator* gets more complex as every individual *Colleague*
 - The *Mediator* itself could get monolithic and for this reason complex and difficult to maintain



- Implementation
 - Omit the abstract Mediator
 - If the **Colleagues** work only with one **ConcreteMediator** an additional abstraction is not necessary



- Implementation
 - Communication between *Mediator* and *Colleagues* ... is necessary, if an ,interesting' event happens;
 ideas:
 - Implementation of the Mediator as Observer in using the Observer Pattern
 - Realization of a specific communication interface inside the Mediator to achieve a ,more direct' communication to the Colleagues; e. g. with hand-off of a self-reference



- Known Uses (see [GHJ+95])
 - ET++ and the THINK C library use "Director" objects, acting as Mediators between widgets
 - Smalltalk/V for Windows the application architecture is based on a Mediator structure
 - Coordination of complex updates; example:
 - In using of the observer pattern a "change manager" could mediate between Subject and Observer to avoid redundant actualizations
 - The change manager gets informed as soon as an object is changing: He coordinates all the necessary updates in informing only the objects depending on this object



- Related Patterns
 - Different trade-offs how to decouple senders and receivers [p347, GHJ04]
 - Chain of Responsibility passes a sender request along a chain of potential receivers
 - Command normally specifies a sender-receiver connection with a subclass.
 - Mediator

has senders and receivers reference each other indirectly

• Observer

defines a very decoupled interface that allows for multiple receivers to be configured at run-time



- Related Patterns
 - Mediator and Observer are competing patterns
 [p346, GHJ04]
 - Observer distributes communication by introducing "observer" and "subject" objects
 - Mediator encapsulates the communication between other objects
 - It seems to be easier to make reusable Observers and Subjects than to make reusable Mediators
 - Mediator can leverage Observer for dynamically registering colleagues and communicating with them [p282, GHJ04]



- Related Patterns
 - Difference between Mediator and Facade [p193, GHJ04]
 - Mediator is similar to Facade in that it abstracts functionality of existing classes.
 - Mediator allows cooperative behavior between objects and the protocol is multi directional
 - Facade abstracts a subsystem of objects to offer an easier interface. It is not known by subsystem classes. The protocol is unidirectional (communication only to the subsystem, not vice versa)